

AMENDMENT TO THE FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE

COMMUNICATION

FROM

THE CHIEF JUSTICE, THE SUPREME COURT
OF THE UNITED STATES

TRANSMITTING

AMENDMENT TO THE FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE THAT HAVE
BEEN ADOPTED BY THE SUPREME COURT, PURSUANT TO 28
U.S.C. 2072



MAY 15, 2013.—Referred to the Committee on the Judiciary and ordered
to be printed

—
U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

29-011

WASHINGTON : 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES,
Washington, DC, April 16, 2013.

Hon. JOHN A. BOEHNER,
Speaker of the House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: I have the honor to submit to the Congress the amendment to the Federal Rules of Evidence that has been adopted by the Supreme Court of the United States pursuant to Section 2072 of Title 28, United States Code.

Accompanying this rule are excerpts from the Report of the Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure to the Judicial Conference of the United States containing the Committee Notes submitted to the Court for its consideration pursuant to Section 331 of Title 28, United States Code.

Sincerely,

JOHN G. ROBERTS, Jr.,
Chief Justice.

April 13, 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ORDERED:

1. That the Federal Rules of Evidence be, and they hereby are, amended by including therein an amendment to Evidence Rule 803.

[See infra., pp. _____.]

2. That the foregoing amendment to the Federal Rules of Evidence shall take effect on December 1, 2013, and shall govern in all proceedings thereafter commenced and, insofar as just and practicable, all proceedings then pending.

3. That the CHIEF JUSTICE be, and hereby is, authorized to transmit to the Congress the foregoing amendment to the Federal Rules of Evidence in accordance with the provisions of Section 2072 of Title 28, United States Code.

**AMENDMENT TO THE FEDERAL RULES OF
EVIDENCE**

**Rule 803. Exceptions to the Rule Against Hearsay —
Regardless of Whether the Declarant Is
Available as a Witness**

The following are not excluded by the rule against hearsay,
regardless of whether the declarant is available as a
witness:

* * * * *

(10) *Absence of a Public Record.* Testimony —

or a certification under Rule 902 — that a
diligent search failed to disclose a public
record or statement if:

- (A) the testimony or certification is
admitted to prove that
- (i) the record or statement does not
exist; or

(ii) a matter did not occur or exist, if

a public office regularly kept a record or statement for a matter of that kind; and

(B) in a criminal case, a prosecutor who intends to offer a certification provides written notice of that intent at least 14 days before trial, and the defendant does not object in writing within 7 days of receiving the notice — unless the court sets a different time for the notice or the objection.

* * * * *



JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20544

THE CHIEF JUSTICE
OF THE UNITED STATES
*Presiding*HONORABLE THOMAS F. HOGAN
Secretary

January 22, 2013

MEMORANDUM

To: The Chief Justice of the United States and
Associate Justices of the Supreme Court

From: Judge Thomas F. Hogan *Thomas F. Hogan*

RE: TRANSMITTAL OF PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE FEDERAL RULES OF
EVIDENCE

By direction of the Judicial Conference of the United States, pursuant to the authority conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 331, I transmit herewith for consideration of the Court a proposed amendment to Rule 803 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, which was approved by the Judicial Conference at its September 2012 session. The Judicial Conference recommends that the amendment be approved by the Court and transmitted to the Congress pursuant to law.

For your assistance in considering the proposed amendment, I am transmitting: (i) a redline version of the amendment; (ii) an excerpt from the Report of the Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure to the Judicial Conference; and (iii) an excerpt from the Report of the Advisory Committee on the Federal Rules of Evidence.

Attachments

**EXCERPT FROM THE
REPORT OF THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE**

COMMITTEE ON RULES OF PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

**TO THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE UNITED STATES AND MEMBERS OF THE
JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES:**

* * * * *

FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE

Rule Recommended for Approval and Transmission

The Advisory Committee on Evidence Rules submitted a proposed amendment to Rule 803(10), with a recommendation that it be approved and transmitted to the Judicial Conference. The proposed amendment was circulated to the bench, bar, and public for comment in August 2011. Scheduled public hearings on the amendment were canceled because no one asked to testify.

The proposed amendment revises the hearsay exception for the absence of a public record or entry to avoid a constitutional infirmity in the current rule in light of the Supreme Court's decision in *Melendez-Diaz v. Massachusetts*, 557 U.S. 305 (2009). Rule 803(10) currently allows the government to prove in a criminal case, through the introduction of a certificate, that a public record does not exist. Under *Melendez-Diaz*, the certificate would often be "testimonial" within the meaning of the Confrontation Clause, as construed by *Crawford v. Washington*, 541 U.S. 36 (2004). Therefore, the admission of certificates (in lieu of testimony) violates the accused's right of confrontation. The proposed amendment to Rule 803(10) addresses the Confrontation Clause problem in the current rule by adding a "notice-and-demand" procedure.

In *Melendez-Diaz*, the Court stated that the use of a notice-and-demand procedure (and the defendant's failure to demand production under that procedure) would cure an otherwise

unconstitutional use of testimonial certificates. As amended, Rule 803(10) would permit a prosecutor who intends to offer a certification to provide written notice of that intent at least 14 days before trial. If the defendant does not object in writing within seven days of receiving the notice, the prosecutor would be permitted to introduce a certification that a diligent search failed to disclose a public record or statement and would not have to produce a witness to so testify. The amended rule would allow the court to set a different time for the notice or the objection. After considering the two public comments it received, the advisory committee recommended approval of the proposed amendment as published.

The Committee concurred with the advisory committee's recommendations.

Recommendation: That the Judicial Conference approve the proposed amendment to Evidence Rule 803(10), and transmit it to the Supreme Court for its consideration with a recommendation that it be adopted by the Court and transmitted to Congress in accordance with the law.

* * * * *

Respectfully submitted,



Mark R. Kravitz, Chair

James. M. Cole	David F. Levi
Dean C. Colson	Patrick J. Schiltz
Roy T. Englert, Jr.	James A. Teilborg
Gregory G. Garre	Larry D. Thompson
Neil M. Gorsuch	Richard C. Wesley
Marilyn L. Huff	Diane P. Wood
Wallace B. Jefferson	

COMMITTEE ON RULES OF PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE
OF THE
JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20544

MARK R. KRAVITZ
CHAIR
PETER G. McCABE
SECRETARY

CHAIRS OF ADVISORY COMMITTEES
JEFFREY S. SUTTON
APPELLATE RULES
EUGENE R. WEDOFF
BANKRUPTCY RULES
DAVID G. CAMPBELL
CIVIL RULES
REENA RAGGI
CRIMINAL RULES
SIDNEY A. FITZWATER
EVIDENCE RULES

MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mark R. Kravitz, Chair
Standing Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure

FROM: Honorable Sidney A. Fitzwater, Chair
Advisory Committee on Evidence Rules

DATE: May 3, 2012

RE: Report of the Advisory Committee on Evidence Rules

I. Introduction

The Advisory Committee on Evidence Rules (the “Committee”) met on April 4, 2012 in Dallas at the SMU Dedman School of Law.

The Committee seeks final Standing Committee approval and transmittal to the Judicial Conference of the United States of one proposal: an amendment to Evidence Rule 803(10)—the hearsay exception for absence of public record or entry—to address a constitutional infirmity in light of the Supreme Court’s decision in *Melendez-Diaz v. Massachusetts*.

* * * * *

Report to Standing Committee
Evidence Rules Advisory Committee

II. Action Items

A. Proposed Amendment to Evidence Rule 803(10)

At its June 2011 meeting, the Standing Committee approved releasing for public comment an amendment to Rule 803(10). Rule 803(10) currently allows the government to prove in a criminal case, through the introduction of a certificate, that a public record does not exist. Under *Melendez-Diaz v. Massachusetts* such a certificate would be “testimonial” within the meaning of the Confrontation Clause, as construed by *Crawford v. Washington*. Therefore, the admission of such certificates (in lieu of testimony) violates the accused’s right of confrontation. The proposed amendment to Rule 803(10) addresses the Confrontation Clause problem in the current rule by adding a “notice-and-demand” procedure. In *Melendez-Diaz* the Court stated that the use of a notice-and-demand procedure (and the defendant’s failure to demand production under that procedure) would cure an otherwise unconstitutional use of testimonial certificates. As amended, Rule 803(10) would permit a prosecutor who intends to offer a certification to provide written notice of that intent at least 14 days before trial. If the defendant does not object in writing within 7 days of receiving the notice, the prosecutor would be permitted to introduce a certification that a diligent search failed to disclose a public record or statement rather than produce a witness to so testify. The amended Rule would allow the court to set a different time for the notice or the objection.

At its Spring 2012 meeting, the Committee considered the two comments received on the proposed amendment. The Magistrate Judges’ Association favors the proposal. The National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers (“NACDL”) agrees in principle with a notice-and-demand solution, but it has several objections to the proposed amendment. The Committee unanimously voted to amend Rule 803(10) by adopting the language published for public comment, and to transmit the proposed rule to the Standing Committee with the recommendation that it be approved and sent to the Judicial Conference. The proposed Rule and Committee Note are set out in an appendix to this Report.

Recommendation: The Committee recommends that the proposed amendment to Evidence Rule 803(10) be approved and transmitted to the Judicial Conference of the United States.

* * * *

**PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE FEDERAL
RULES OF EVIDENCE***

1 **Rule 803. Exceptions to the Rule Against Hearsay —**
2 **Regardless of Whether the Declarant Is**
3 **Available as a Witness**

4 The following are not excluded by the rule against hearsay,
5 regardless of whether the declarant is available as a witness:

6 * * * * *

7 **(10) *Absence of a Public Record.*** Testimony —
8 or a certification under Rule 902 — that a
9 diligent search failed to disclose a public
10 record or statement if the testimony or
11 certification is admitted to prove that:

12 (A) the testimony or certification is admitted
13 to prove that

14 (Ai) the record or statement does not

* New material is underlined; matter to be omitted is lined through.

15 exist; or

16 (Bii) a matter did not occur or exist, if a

17 public office regularly kept a

18 record or statement for a matter of

19 that kind; and

20 (B) in a criminal case, a prosecutor who

21 intends to offer a certification provides

22 written notice of that intent at least 14

23 days before trial, and the defendant does

24 not object in writing within 7 days of

25 receiving the notice — unless the court

26 sets a different time for the notice or the

27 objection.

28 * * * * *

Committee Note

Rule 803(10) has been amended in response to *Melendez-Diaz v. Massachusetts*, 557 U.S. 305 (2009). The *Melendez-Diaz* Court declared that a testimonial certificate could be admitted if the accused is given advance notice and does not timely demand the presence of the official who prepared the certificate. The amendment incorporates, with minor variations, a “notice-and-demand” procedure that was approved by the *Melendez-Diaz* Court. See Tex. Code Crim. P. Ann., art. 38.41.

Changes Made After Publication and Comment

No changes were made after publication and comment.

